DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY)

held at 2.00 pm on 14 December 2012 at Godalming Baptist Church, Queen Street, Godalming GU7 1BA.

Surrey County Council Members:

- * Mrs Pat Frost (Chairman)
- * Mr Steve Renshaw (Vice-Chairman)
- * Mr Steve Cosser
- * Ms Denise Le Gal
- * Mr David Harmer
- * Mr Peter Martin
- * Mr David Munro
 - Dr Andrew Povey
- * Mr Alan Young

Borough / District Members:

- Borough Councillor Brian Adams
- Borough Councillor Brian Ellis
 - Borough Councillor Carole Cockburn
- * Borough Councillor Robert Knowles
- * Borough Councillor Bryn Morgan
- * Borough Councillor Julia Potts
 - Borough Councillor Simon Thornton
 - Borough Councillor Brett Vorley
- * Borough Councillor Keith Webster
- * Borough Councillor Maurice Byham (substitute)
- * Borough Councillor James Edwards (substitute)

66/12 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 1]

Apologies were received from Mr B Adams, Mrs C Cockburn, Mr S Thornton and Mr B Vorley; Mr M Byham and Mr J Edwards were present as substitutes for Mrs Cockburn and Mr Thornton respectively. Mr P Martin had indicated that his arrival would be delayed.

67/12 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING: 21 SEPTEMBER 2012 [Item 2]

The minutes were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

68/12 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

Declarations of pecuniary interest were made as follows:

^{*} In attendance

Item 10 Mr D Munro on the grounds that his residence is located at the

end of the road in question.

Item 11 Ms J Potts on the grounds that her residence backs onto one

of the roads referred to at paragraph 3.3

Members also drew attention to the following:

Item 14 Mrs P Frost is a trustee of the Chantrys and Byworth

Community Association; Ms D Le Gal is a trustee of 40 Degreez, Farnham. Mrs Frost and Ms Le Gal indicated that

they would take no part in the discussion of this item.

Item 12 Mr J Edwards is a member of Haslemere Town Council

69/12 PETITIONS [Item 4]

Two petitions were received:

- (i) A petition presented by Mr Ian Clifton on behalf of residents of Tower Road, Hindhead and its neighbourhood requesting the implementation of parking restrictions on the south side of Tower Road extending 12 metres towards the A233 on one side of the entrance of Moorlands Close and 25 metres on the other side.
- (ii) A petition received from Mrs Victoria Leake on behalf of residents of Lower Street (East) and Shepherds Hill, Haslemere, requesting the inclusion of Lower Street and Shepherds Hill in any residents' parking scheme that is introduced in Haslemere. Residents of these roads currently park in Haslemere town centre in roads that are currently being considered for residents' only parking schemes.

70/12 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]

Two public questions were received. The text of the questions and tabled responses are attached.

71/12 MEMBER QUESTIONS [Item 6]

A member's question was received from Mr D Munro. The text and response are attached. In response to Mr Munro's supplementary question the Area Highways Manager confirmed that the drawings for the proposed pedestrian strip are ready for local consultation.

NON-EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS

72/12 CATTLE-GRIDS: HINDHEAD COMMON [Item 7]

It was reported that Thursley Parish Council, within whose boundaries most of the locations under consideration fall, is content with the proposals. The Committee noted the concerns of Mr Milton, as recorded in the report, and that the opportunity to submit formal objections would be available during the statutory notification period. A concern was expressed, reflecting previous experience in Haslemere, that unless run-off from the Common is diverted before it reaches the grids, silting may create a surface over which livestock

would be able to escape across the grids. This is part of a more widespread problem in which surface water is allowed to flow down from the National Trust land, causing structural damage to public roads in the Haslemere area. The Senior Countryside Access Officer undertook to ensure that the National Trust addressed this situation.

RESOLVED THAT:

A Notice be published pursuant to section 82 of the Highways Act 1980 relating to the installation of cattle grids and bypasses on BOATs 21a Haslemere and 500, 501, 502, 503 Thursley, as shown on Drawing No. 3/1/14/H50, for a statutory 28-day objection period. If there are no objections the cattle grids and bypasses can be granted. If objections are received that they are forwarded to the Secretary of State for Transport for determination.

REASON

Officers do not have delegated powers to proceed with cattle grid applications. Officers support the installation of cattle grids and by-pass gates.

EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS

73/12 HIGHWAYS PROGRAMME 2012-13: UPDATE REPORT [Item 8]

Noting the matter raised in the informal question session relating to the proposed zebra crossing in Petworth Road, Haslemere (2.2 in the published report), the Area Highways Manager believed that a sufficient number of pedestrians cross the road at this location to justify the scheme; it may be possible to retain one of the existing parking spaces. It was reported that Haslemere Town Council's Planning and Highways Committee had endorsed the proposed scheme, along with that relating to Critchmere Hill (2.3). With the respect to the latter, members were referred to the feasibility study into junction improvements at this location recommended in the 2013-14 programme (Item 9).

The Committee understood the importance of the creation of a footway in The Street, Wonersh adjacent to 'Larchwood' (2.4) and was reassured that it would have high priority if deferred to 2013-14. A general concern was raised about the tendency for footways to become narrowed by encroaching banks, etc. and it was pointed out that Community Pride could fund clearance in such cases.

Details of the current status of the County Councillors' Community Pride budget were tabled (attached).

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Note progress on the programme of highway schemes.
- (ii) Delegate authority to the Area Highways Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman and locally affected members, to amend budgets throughout the year if required to ensure the budget is allocated in a timely manner.

- (iii) Agree to the prohibition of the left turn from the D5508 Critchmere Hill (southern arm) into the A287 Hindhead Road.
- (iv) Agree to the revocation of the temporary waiting parking bays outside numbers 2 to 8 on the B2131 Petworth Road and the creation of a bus clearway at this location.

REASON

The Committee wishes to receive regular updates on the progress of its programme and to ensure that its budgets are allocated in a timely manner.

74/12 LOCAL COMMITTEE HIGHWAYS CAPITAL AND REVENUE BUDGETS AND RECOMMENDED ALLOCATIONS FOR 2013/14 [Item 9]

It was explained that the provision of a jetter contained in the proposed programme would be additional to the five weeks routinely allocated to Waverley. Local councils interested in the sustainability of the "lengthsman" scheme were referred to the Assistant Director (Highways). The Committee wished to be alerted in good time to any delays in the design process, but noted that agreement of the programme at this stage in the cycle would allow an additional period of three months to accommodate this.

There was discussion about the allocation of developers' contributions and a wish that members should be able to make representations on local priorities. It was acknowledged that the allocation of this funding is a Borough Council responsibility and County Councillors were advised to channel any suggestions on local priorities related to specific applications via their Transport Development Planning Team. There was felt to be a need to consider the impact of school expansion on travel patterns and the need for appropriate safe routes for children and young people.

Looking across both years 2012-13 (Item 8) and 2013-14, the Chairman proposed that the funding originally allocated to The Street, Wonersh scheme (£25,000) which will now be prioritised in 2013-14 should be re-assigned to the A31 Coxbridge crossing with a view to ensuring its completion within 2012-13. Noting the need to protect young people crossing the A31 at this point as part of their route to school, the Committee agreed (resolution (v) below) to make this adjustment; if the project did not proceed in 2012-13 the funding would be allocated to Local Structural Repair schemes.

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Agree that the improvement (ITS) schemes described in this report form the Waverley Local Transport Plan (LTP) programme for 2013/14, with Maintenance Capital and Revenue funding reserved to implement the programme.
- (ii) Authorise the Area Highway Manager (AHM) to progress the schemes included in the programme in consultation with local elected members and associated task groups.

- (iii) Subject to approval of recommendations (i) and (ii) authorise the AHM to consider and determine any objections submitted following the statutory advertisement of the traffic orders and notices associated with the programme of schemes, in consultation with the Chairman and/or Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee and relevant local councillors.
- (iv) Agree that Community Pride funding is devolved to each County Councillor based on an equitable allocation of £5,000 per division
- (v) Agree that the sum of £25,000, made available as a result of the fact that the proposed creation of a footway in The Street, Wonersh will not be progressed in 2012-13, should be re-allocated to the A31 controlled crossing scheme at Coxbridge, Farnham for expenditure in 2012-13, unless this scheme is also unable to progress in which case the funds would be used for Local Structural Repair schemes in that year.

REASON

The Committee is required to agree a programme for the deployment of its capital and revenue highways budgets.

[Mr R Knowles left the meeting after this item.]

75/12 THE FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMME PILOT [Item 13]

Officers informed that Committee that an external evaluation of the pilot project had been commissioned and was due to report in February. The focus of the project had been to explore new ways of collaborative working amongst partners to help families out of their difficulties and to prevent costly interventions in the future. The Committee was presented with a case-study of a particular family where an holistic "Team around the Family" approach had encouraged some rapid and sustained improvements. Although Waverley contains less families than other boroughs in Surrey who meet the government criteria for inclusion in the scheme, the project has identified a number of additional factors which are significant in Waverley and has been able to work with families who experience these. It is envisaged that the project will be extended across Surrey by October 2013.

The Committee warmly welcomed the report and reflected that the project successfully complemented its own sustained support for the most vulnerable neighbourhoods in Waverley. It was felt that, in due course, there may be a role for local voluntary organisations. Members hoped that a report would be presented to Waverley Borough Council containing an account of the cost-effectiveness of the pilot.

RESOLVED TO:

NOTE THE PROGRESS MADE BY THE PILOT SERVICE.

REASON

The Waverley Family Support Team has tested out new ways of working with families with multiple needs. The learning from the pilot has informed the

arrangements for the countywide implementation of the Surrey Family Support Programme.

[Mr J Edwards left the meeting during this item.]

76/12 FRENSHAM GREAT POND: BACON LANE RURAL CLEARWAY [Item 10]

[Having declared a pecuniary interest Mr D Munro left the meeting during this item.]

The Committee was supportive of the proposal, noting that any displacement would be reviewed. There was some concern, however, that insufficient provision had been made for parking by dog-walkers and that increased parking at the Green might cause difficulties.

The Committee agreed to the officer recommendations, with one member voting against.

[Mr P Martin joined the meeting during this item.]

RESOLVED:

- (i) That the proposed rural clearway in Bacon Lane as described in this report and shown in detail on the drawing presented at this committee meeting as Annex A is approved.
- (ii) To note that all advertising and signing costs will be funded by Surrey County Council's parking team.
- (iii) That the intention of the County Council to make an Order under the relevant parts of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to impose a rural clearway on Bacon Lane as shown on Annex A is advertised and that, if no objections are maintained, the Order is made.
- (iv) That the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager will consider and try to resolve any objections, and that a decision on any remaining unresolved objections will be made by the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and the relevant County Councillor.

REASON

The proposal will make a positive impact towards road safety, access for emergency vehicles, easing traffic congestion, improving traffic flow.

77/12 PROPOSAL TO CARRY OUT PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON A RANGE OF HEAVY GOODS VEHICLE MITIGATION MEASURES FOR FARNHAM [Item 11]

[Having declared a pecuniary interest Ms J Potts left the meeting during this item.]

It was explained that the proposed consultation would have no financial implications, but that implementation of any measures emerging from this

process would need to be costed and prioritised for funding at a later stage. A further report would be brought to the Local Committee following the consultation.

Farnham members in particular welcomed the report and stressed the need for the consultation to be thorough and comprehensive, including retailers and residents in areas not immediately affected but where there may be at this stage unforeseen consequences. Officers acknowledged that the proposals may have some impact within Farnham, especially at Upper Hale, but it was not felt that this would be significant.

More widely there was a concern that the possible impacts on other parts of Waverley should be taken into account, both in terms of the appropriate siting of advanced warning notices of the restrictions and of the need to consult with Town and Parish Councils in areas which may be subject to a "ripple effect" as a result of changes in Farnham. Officers were requested to consider these factors, including the impact on neighbouring retail centres of the displacement of delivery schedules from Farnham. Officers also noted the need to maintain contact with colleagues collaborating with Waverley Borough Council in the DEFRA-funded project which is looking into all aspects of air quality in Farnham.

The Committee was reminded that one objective of the project as originally launched had been to establish good practice which might be extended to other towns in Waverley.

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Authorise a public consultation on a package of Heavy Good Vehicles mitigation measures for Farnham. The measures to be considered will include weight restrictions on key arterial routes into and through the town and an extension of the area covered by the loading restrictions recently introduced on the Borough.
- (ii) Agree that the content and format of the consultation shall be developed in discussion with local members through the Farnham Local Task Group.
- (iii) Consider, at a future meeting of this Committee, the outcome of the consultation and inclusion of its recommendations within the local transport programme.

REASON

It is felt that a town wide range of measures is needed to effectively deal with inappropriate HGV activity in Farnham.

[Mr B Ellis left the meeting after this item.]

78/12 TWO PARKS PROJECT: HASLEMERE SCHEMES [Item 12]

Members welcomed the proposed application, noting that there is no guarantee that funding would be approved. Recognising that owing to the

timescale for applications this would need to be retrospective, it was nevertheless hoped that there would be an assessment of the local impact of any expenditure to guide future action. It was hoped that any benefits might in due course be extended to the Dockenfield area which equally borders the National Park. It was reported that the Waverley Cycle Forum supports the application.

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Note the scheme agreed by the Two Parks Project Board for the 2012/13 financial year, indicated in **Annex A**.
- (ii) Agree to support the scheme bids scheduled in **Annex B** for the financial years 2013/14 and 2014/15.

REASON

The Two National Parks project provides an opportunity for Surrey County Council to influence the project and include Haslemere as a gateway to the South Downs National Park. The schemes suggested in **Annex B** make a strong case for strengthening the walking, cycling and bus links connections with the South Downs National Park from Haslemere railway station and could assist in boosting the economy of the area with visitors purchasing goods in local shops before they travel into or returning from the National Park.

79/12 WAVERLEY YOUTH TASK GROUP REPORT [Item 14]

The Committee was informed that all applications against the remaining Youth Small Grants budget must be received by 1 February 2013. From 1 April 2013 it is envisaged that Local Committees, via their Youth Task Groups, will have increased flexibility to allocate grants for personalisation projects and preventative work, as well as for activities currently supported by the small grants scheme. Members welcomed this approach, provided that the process is thoroughly worked out prior to implementation. Officers were asked to ensure that awareness of the availability of grants is extended and that smaller organisations are supported in submitting applications.

The Committee received an update on the work of the Youth Support Service in Waverley and received a tabled update on progress in increasing access to education, employment and training (attached). It was reported that the service has now been given responsibility for supporting homeless 16- and 17-year olds and also for some 15-year olds categorised as Children in Need. The service does not operate in isolation, having seconded two workers to the Family Support Service (Item 13) and collaborates with partners to avoid duplication in supporting relevant young people in improving their attainment and skills. The Committee was reminded that NEET status tends to be symptomatic of a range of other factors and members were alerted to the potential impact of the forthcoming changes to benefits on this cohort of young people. There was a discussion about the provision of appropriate employment and work-experience opportunities to young people, including apprenticeships schemes whose success depends on matching candidates and employers correctly.

The Committee was encouraged by the report and case-studies presented and thanked officers for their contribution.

The recommendations were agreed by the County Council members of the Committee.

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Approve the Task Group recommendations in **Annex B** of this report on the award of funding.
- (ii) Note progress made in reducing the number of relevant young people not in education, employments or training (NEETs) in Waverley (Annex C).

REASON

The Committee has asked for updates from its Youth Task Group and is required to ensure the effective deployment of its Youth Small Grants budget.

[Mr S Cosser left the meeting during this item.]

80/12 LOCAL COMMITTEE BUDGETS [Item 15]

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Agree the items presented for funding from the Local Committee's 2012/13 **revenue** and **capital** funding as set out in paragraph 2 (2.2, 2.3) of this report and annexed to this report (Annexes B and C).
- (ii) Note the expenditure approved since the last Committee by the Community Partnerships Manager and the Community Partnerships Team Leader under delegated powers, as set out in paragraph 3.

REASON

The Committee is being asked to decide on these bids so that the Community Partnerships Team can process the bids in line with the wishes of the Committee.

81/12 LOCAL COMMITTEE FORWARD PROGRAMME [Item 16]

The Chairman announced that a report on the condition of footways in Waverley would be included in the agenda of the 15 March 2013 meeting.

There will be an additional meeting on 24 January 2013 to consider the outcome of the statutory consultation on proposed on-street parking arrangements in Haslemere. This will take place in Haslemere Hall at 3.00pm, preceded by an informal question time at 2.30pm

RESOLVED TO:

- (i) Note the proposed contents of the Forward Programme.
- (ii) Note that an item on the condition of footways in Waverley would be considered at the March 2013 meeting.

REASON

The Committee wishes to plan its business effectively.

INFORMAL PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The meeting was preceded by an informal public question time. The matters raised are attached. This summary does not form part of the formal minutes of the meeting.

Meeting ended at: 5.00 pm

Chairman



LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY)

PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

14 DECEMBER 2012

1. From Dr Jenny Masding on behalf of Alfold Parish Council

Would members of this Committee give serious consideration to giving their approval for the funding of a feasibility study into a possible method of improving pedestrian safety on the Dunsfold road in Alfold?

Alfold Parish Council acknowledges that there is now a large and successful industrial site at Dunsfold Park, important economically and for employment. The Parish Council has always supported its development, as evidenced in past records, but as a consequence there is now a serious safety issue for pedestrians on the Dunsfold road because of an increase in the density of traffic and, of necessity, large lorries. There is a significant population living at the Compasses who are elderly with no access either to cars or the internet. For some their only means to shop, get to their doctor's surgery, the hospital or access other services is to walk to the bus stop at Alfold crossways along the Dunsfold road. Also we have several teenage children living along the road who have to walk up the road to catch the school bus. The road is rural and narrow and passing large vehicles almost brush these pedestrians. We all have a duty of care to this group of residents, many of whom are arguably vulnerable. We consider that we must address their needs and indeed their human rights to go about their lives in safety. Thus we request that you consider the funding of a feasibility study. We would add that we have in our budget, and will carry forward, some monies we have set aside in case needed as a contribution to Surrey County Council traffic safety schemes in the village of Alfold.

Response

Dr Masding will be aware that at agenda Item 9 the Committee is asked to agree to fund a feasibility study into pedestrian safety in Dunsfold Road, Alfold.

ITEM 5

2. From Jane Godden, David Pope and Nick Godden (Haslemere)

In relation to on-street parking in Haslemere, is the Local Committee aware:

- 1. That the overwhelming majority of residents in the western part of Courts Hill Road, and around the corner with Courts Mount Road, are supportive of Surrey County Council's (SCC) parking proposals for this part of the road as was evidenced by the petition previously submitted. Does SCC recognise that these residents believe the design of the proposals is technically sound and provides real safety and movement benefits and residents parking for those who require it?
- 2. That Haslemere Town Council (HTC) has provided no evidence that South West Trains or anyone else has given approval in principle to the provision of extra parking places at Haslemere Station; does it also accept that for extra off-street parking provision (should it ever materialize) to work, on-street parking around the station would need to be controlled. HTC states in its report dated 10 February 2012 that the Weydown Road car park is full by 8.30am on weekdays; commuters take all available spaces at the western end of Courts Hill Road by 7am, the inference being that on-street parking is free, not that off-street parking is necessarily unavailable.
- 3. That HTC agreed to support the proposals for Kings Road "because their residents were the first to ask" and Longdene Road "because it is dangerous". Is SCC aware that if Longdene Road is dangerous, then the western end of Courts Hill Road is doubly so? Apart from yellow lines at each end of the road which need restoration and extension as proposed by SCC, there are NO effective parking controls for the carriageway between which makes access and movement along the road difficult and dangerous for residents. Longdene Road already has continuous double yellow lines along one side of the road at strategic points on the other.

In view of the above does SCC accept that the second paragraph of the front page report, author unknown, in the Haslemere Herald dated 7 December that "cars (are) currently parked without problems around the town" is wrong?

Does SCC continue to accept that these problems, which cause genuine daily difficulties and concerns to residents in this part of Courts Hill Road, need to be addressed without any further delay in the manner that they have proposed?

Response

The Committee is aware of the views of residents of Courts Hill Road as expressed in the previous petition and in the consultation carried out in July 2012. The Committee is aware of the situation with regard to the possibility of additional parking being made available at Haslemere station. The Committee is aware of the layout and parking controls in Longdene Road and Courts Hill Road. Any further comment would be inappropriate while the outcome of the recently advertised proposals is still outstanding.



LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY)

MEMBER'S QUESTION AND RESPONSE

14 DECEMBER 2012

From Mr David Munro

At the last meeting of this committee on 21 September, in the report at Item 9 (Highways Update), officers gave a summary of actions in hand to respond to a petition from Rowledge residents for safe pedestrian access within the village of Rowledge. Amongst the issues that were reported as 'in hand' were:

- Two additional 'pedestrians in road' signs for Chapel Road and The Avenue, to be installed 'in the autumn'.
- The possibility of a buff-coloured strip across the Long Road was 'being developed'.

What progress is being made on these two items?

Response

- The two signs are on order, but will not be installed before the New Year.
 Unfortunately a backlog of signing work has built up with the Highways contractor,
 May Gurney. This issue has recently been addressed, with a specialist signing subcontractor instructed, and it is expected that all outstanding signs will be installed within the next two months.
- A drawing for the buff coloured pedestrian strip has been the subject of a Safety Audit and this could now be installed subject to consultation with residents, since officers are aware that there are sensitivities about perceived urbanisation of the village environment.

This page is intentionally left blank

Local Committee (Waverley) Community Pride 2012/13

Position at end of November 2012

Member	Andrew Povey	David Harmer	David Munro	Denise Le Gal	Pat Frost	Peter Martin	Steve Cosser	Steve Renshaw	Alan Young
Allocation	£5,000	£5,000	£2,000	£5,000	£5,000	£5,000	£5,000	£10,000	£2,000
Committed	£5,000	£1,600	£2,000	£2,000	£2,416	£5,298	£5,014	£10,000	£4,835
© Balance	ı	£3,400	•	ı	£2,584	-£298	-£14	-	£165
15									

This page is intentionally left blank

		12				Į.																			
	Dec	12.12.12	22	15m 7f	31	20m 11f	9	4m 2f	11	8m 3f	Н	1m	0		71		15		48	23					
	Nov	01.11.12	21	14m 7f	29	18m 11f	8	4m 4f	10	7m 3f	1	1m	0		69		16		44	25	16	-	0		1
	Oct	02.10.12	52	18m 7f	34	21m 13f	7	4m 3f	14	8m 6f	7	2m	0		82		16		53	29	∞	7	15		37.8%
	Sept	01.09.12	77	15m 7f	37	24m 13f	10	6m 4f	10	4m 6f	2	2m	0		81		9		51	30	6	7	4		12.3%
	Aug	02.08.12	21	15m 6f	36	24m 12f	11	7m 4f	2	2m 5f	2	2m	0		77		ı		20	27	6	ı	2		6.5%
ort 2012	July	09.07.12	19	11m 8f	32	21m 11f	6	5m 4f	7	2m 5f	7	2m	0		69		ı		41	28	14	ı	3		4.3%
Waverley NEET Report 2012	June	12.06.12	17	9m 8f	56	17m 9f	6	5m 4f	6	4m 5f	7	2m	0		63		ı		37	26	∞	1	4		6.3%
Waverl	May	07.05.12	19	9m10f	27	16m11f	10	7m3f	8	4m4f	7	2m	0		99		ı		38	28	9	1	4		6.3%
	April	23.04.12	31	19m12f	35	24m11f	11	8m3f	10	5m5f	7	2m	2	1m1f	91		ı		29	32	2	1	4		4.4%
	March	16.03.12	30	19m11f	33	22m11f	11	8m3f	8	4m4f	7	2m	7	1m1f	98		ı		26	30	6	1	9		%6:9
	Feb	28.02.12	28	18m 10f	32	21m 11f	11	8m 3f	8	4m4f	7	2m	4	1m3f	85		ı		54	31	20	ı	7		8.2%
	Jan				ı		ı		ı		ı				62				ı	1	Т	ı	æ		4.8%
	2012	(As of)	Godalming		Farnham		Cranleigh		Haslemere		OOC/Custody		Others		Total NEET	Waverley	Inc NEET Prev	Programmes	Male	Female	New Referrals	Closed - status unknown	Conversion	Rate: NEET to	PETE Number & %

Page 17

Waverley NEET Report 2012 – Barriers to	ort 20:	12 – B	arriers	to Pal	rticipa	tion fc	or you	ng pe	ople a	ged 1	5 – 19	years	Participation for young people aged 16 – 19 years living in Waverley	Wave	rley
A	AM	HN	NMF	IN	IK	ВH	KS	LB	JG	ЭH	NC	KR		July	y TOTAL
4		14	7	4	1	1	22	4	12	0	1	1		80	71
	1	7	-	3	-	-	15	-	1	-	-	-		28	27
	1	4	1	1	1		7	3	ı	1		-		9	16
	2	ı	ı	ı	1	1		1	11	ı	П	ı		27	16
	ı	ı	7	ı	ı	ı		ı	ı	ı	ı	ı		14	7
	1	3	1	1	1	ı		ı	ı	ı	ı	1		5	5
	3	9	4	3	1	1	14	4	10	ı	1	1		49	48
	1	8	3	1		1	8	ı	2	ı	ı	1		31	. 23
	1	-	1	-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	1
	-	3	-	-	-	-	2	1	2	-	-	1		7	6
	1	4	2	2	1	1	10	3	4	-	-	-		37	72
	3	5	3	2	1	-	8	-	9	-	1	-		31	50
	-	2	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-		4	2
	2	∞	2	1	1		9	8	2		1	П		22	56
	2	1	ı	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	ı		1	6
	ı	5	2	1	ı	1	13	ı	7	ı	1	ı		23	33
	1	8	1		ı	-	9	1	ı	1	1	1		1	14
	1	1	1		ı	-	1	1	ı	1	1	1		2	2
	4	6	ı	1	1	1	9	3	3	ı	1	1		16	28
l											•		-	-	=

TOTAL	8	•	30	19			16	10	9	5	9	12		,		1		14	14		25			2	10	10	10 17	10 17 4	10 17 4
ylul	6	0	30	14			12	6	5	7	7	11	ı	\		0		74	12		27		7		9	6 13	6 13	6 13 4	6 13 7
K	ı	-	ı	1			ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı		ı				1	1		1		Occ	1		H	Н	H .	
SC	Н	1	1	1			ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	,	T S	MS			ı	1		1		-	ı		Н	\leftarrow	П .	н
폭	ı	1	1	1			ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı		ı		1		ı	ı				-	ı		,	1	1 1	
9	2	-	9	1			1	1	2	2	-	2		Н		-		-	2		3		-	1		4	4	1	4 1 -
LB	ı	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	ı		ı		-		1	1		1		1	1				1 1	
KS	Н	-	8	7			9	2	2	ı	2	2		7		ı		4	2		9		-	1		2	2	2 1	2 1 -
НĐ	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1		ı		-		ı	ı				1	1		1	1		
¥	ı	-	1	1			1	-	1	-	-	1		ı		-		-	1		-		-	1		1		1 1	
Z	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	ı		ı		-		ı	1		1		1	ı		1	1	- 1	
NMF	4	1	2	3			1	ı	ı	1	1	2	,	Н		1		4	ı		4		-	1		⊣	\vdash	-	
ĭ	1	1	7	2			3	1	1	2	2	4		7		ı		4	2		7		1	4		2	2	1 5	2 1 .
AM	1	-	4	П		Status	2	2	-	-	-	ı		ı		-	Status	-	4		1		1	2		7	7	7 1	2 1
YSO Caseload	Subject to Bullying	English not 1 st Language	Has Previous Employment or Work Experience	Difficulties with Literacy &	Numeracy	Health Factors contributing to NEET	Learning Difficulties or Disability	SEN Statement?	Teen Parent	Pregnant - Expecting	Substance -Misuse	Mental & Emotional Health	Issues (CAIVIHS)		U prevent engagement in ETE	Physical Disability	Other Factors contributing to NEET Status	Travel – accessibility to ETE	Criminal History – Previous	Cautions or Convictions	Finance – low income household	/ affordability	Homelessness	Lack of stable or suitable		Family & Personal Relationships	Family & Personal Relationships – Lack of parental support	Family & Personal Relationships – Lack of parental support LAC (Sec 20 CA 1989)	Family & Personal Relationships Lack of parental support LAC (Sec 20 CA 1989) Child in Need (Sec 17)

Page 19

	YSO Caseload	AM	HZ	NMF	Z	IK	ВH	KS	LB	JG	Hſ	NC	KR		July	TOTAL
	Other Factors contributing to NEET status	status														
	Parents / Siblings not in ETE	1	3	3	-	-	1	4	1	1	-	-	-		16	13
	GRT – Traveller Heritage	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-		2	3
	Non Engagement with YSS	2	2	1	2	ı	1	8	1	1	ı	1	1		19	17
	Lack of Motivation/Aspiration	1	7	1	1	1	1	9	-	1	1	1	1		11	16
	Out of ETE															
	Less than 3 months	1	4	2	-	-	1	13	-	1	-	-	1		21	25
	3-6 months	1	3	1	-	-	1	1	1	2	-	-	-		8	10
	6-12 months	2	3	-	2	-	ı	-	1	1	-	1	1		9	10
	Over 12 months	-	-	1	-	-	-	8	1	3	-	-	-		16	13
	Don't Know														7	
	In receipt of Benefits															
	Hardship Allowance (ES9)	1	1		ı	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1		3	1
	Disability Living Allowance (DLA)	1	1	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-		4	7
	Incapacity Benefit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	
Pa	Employment Support Allowance	ı	1	-	-	1	-	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı		1	1
ae	Income Support	ı	1	ı	ı	į	ı	3	ı	1	ı	ı	ı		1	4
2	Job Seekers Allowance (JSA 18+)	2	-	1	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-		3	8

PETE Aspirations															
YSO Caseload	AM	HN	NMF	Z	¥	В	KS	FB 1	Эſ	H	NC	KR	of	July To	FOTAL
Further Education (Academic)	ı	1	2	ı	1	ı	3	ı	4	1	ı	1		2	10
Further Training (inc Apprenticeships)	ı	5	ı	Н	ı	1	∞	1	2	ı	ı	1	<u> </u>	6	21
Voluntary Work		2	1	1		,	2	1	1			,			5
Employment	4	7	5	2	1	ı	12	2	4	1		ı	4	48	37
If interested in Apprenticeships or Employment or Voluntary work,	Employ	/ment o	r Volunt	ary wor	k, state	in which	h sector	r (below)	()						
Retail	1	-	1	1	1	-	2		3	1	-	1		3	7
Construction	3	2	1	1	1	1	4	1	2	1	1	1		7	13
Hair & Beauty	-	1	1	1	1	ı		1	ı	1		1	.,	3	1
Hospitality & Catering – inc	-	2	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	2	1	1	ı	,	4	9
reception															
Social Care / Child Care	1	2	1	-	1	-	4	-	1	1	-	ı		6	8
Plumbing	-	2	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1		_	3
	1	-		1	1	1	1	1	1	,	1	1		_	ı
Motor Mechanics	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	ı	1			4
	ı	-	1	1	1	ı	2	1	1	ı	ı	ı		1	3
Animal Care	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	ı		2	2
Military	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	2	1	-	ı	7	4	9
Sport	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	ı		3	ı
Music	1	-	-	-	1	-		-	-	1	-	1		-	
Cleaning	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1		2	2
Outdoor –		-	-			1	2	1	ı	1	-	1	 	2	4
Gardening/Landscaping															
Evening / Night work	_	-	1	į	-	ı	1	2	ı	-	-	1		1	2
Voluntary Work	_	_	1	į	-	ı	2	ı	ı	1	_	1		-	2
Don't Know (YP)	-	1	-	1	1	ı	2	1	1	1	1	1		7	2
Unknown (YSO)	1	3	2	2	1	ı	2	2	2	1	1	1	ì	5	16

Page 21

YSO Caseload	AM	NH NMF	NMF	Z	IK	НÐ	KS	LB	JG	H	NC	KR		July	TOTAL
Other (Please specify):	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	1
Warehousing	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1
IT	_	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	1
Security	1	-	ı	1	1	1	1	-	1	ı	1	ı		1	1
Church Y&C Work	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		-	1
Business/Art	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-		-	1
Police	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		_	2
Fireplace Fitter	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		_	1
Online Game Reviewer	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-			_	1
None (State reason why)	1	ı	ı	į	i	1		i	ı	ı	ı	ı			
Teen Mum							2							2	2

Making a difference for Young People out of Education, Training and Employment in Waverley

Case Study 1: Simon

Simon, aged 16, was first referred in July 2012 by the local PCSO to 'The Next Step Up', a YSS Drop In Project held at 40 Degreez, Farnham, after he had found him wandering the streets.

support he received at school, he had a college place lined up for September but felt it was more the choice of his Simon had just left school, had a CV of sorts and wanted a job. On talking with Simon he spoke about the learning teacher and not himself. He had concerns regarding travelling so far, the associated transport costs and didn't want to do landscaping. He was bored with nothing to do for the next 6 weeks. After assessment we spoke about an 'Access for Leisure Card' and agreed this would be a positive use of his time and something we could accredit through the Duke of Edinburgh Award. After a further meeting with Simon he discussed an interest in the Army so I spoke to him about the Public Services course at MPCT in Farnborough. A phone call was made and two days later we visited the centre with his Mum, MCPT explained financial support was available and 100% of his travel costs met. Simon was offered a place immediately (August) and began his induction the following week; we made a travel plan and rehearsed the route together to overcome his fear regarding independent travel. Simon was fully participating in education by the second week of September Page 23

In October Simon visited the Farnham 'Drop In', he was confident and enthusiastic, full of stories of his college course and the fun he was having. He had won some class awards and improved his literacy skills - it was great to hear his news, see him so engaged and positive.

Case Study 2: Mike

securing funding to support this placement. Prior to our help, Mike had not been diagnosed with Aspergers, was located in Godalming where he can meet and engage with other similar young people and have access to opportunities he would not have had but for our help. This involved liaising with Adult Social Care Services and subjected to acute bullying from others because he was different, resulting in him being almost trailer bound and The Youth Support Service supports young people from a variety of backgrounds. Recently we helped a traveller family get their son with Aspergers into the Horizon Centre which is a specialist Autistic Spectrum Disorder Centre, totally withdrawn from society in general.

a extended family members, resulting in our service working closely with Surrey Police, the families GP, Traveller B Liaison, Safeguarding and Transitions Teams and WBC (Housing) to support the family into safe accommodation.

Note: With our help the family are in a much more secure position and are able to lead a more fulfilled life. Mike is now During this time it became apparent there were significant issues, involving domestic violence and threats from

This is just a glimpse of the work we undertake with young people referred to the Youth Support Service.

enjoying learning and making friends for the first time.

INFORMAL PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The meeting was preceded by an informal public question time. The matters raised are summarised below. This summary does not form part of the formal minutes of the meeting.

1. From David Beaman (Farnham)

The public transport watchdog Passenger Focus has praised Surrey County Council's consultation procedure during the recent three-phase review of local bus services and recommended it as a model of best practice for other local authorities to copy. Whilst the consultation procedure in theory is indeed a model of good practice, the translation from theory to practical implementation following the third phase review of local bus services in Waverley and Guildford has not resulted in a better bus service for Farnham. The revised bus network that was introduced in September 2012 following the consultation has provided a bus service that is significantly worse than before.

Members of the Local Committee will recall that at the last meeting on 21 September I drew attention to the problems that had arisen from the introduction of a revised timetable on Routes 17 and 18 that operate between Aldershot, Farnham, Rowledge and Whitehill – this service became highly unreliable, with buses operating up to 15 minutes late (and occasionally even later), and a timetable that was confusing for passengers to understand, with the regular Monday to Saturday daytime service that operated on a regular 30-minute frequency (timed to connect at Farnham station with trains to and from London) being replaced with a timetable that whilst providing two buses per hour now operates on a 20 then 40 minute split that now fails (if indeed it was reliable) to connect with trains at Farnham. At Wrecclesham the situation is even worse with the second bus to Farnham departing 8 minutes after the first bus, followed by a gap of 52 minutes; and to confuse passengers even more the second bus to Farnham travels in the opposite direction to the first bus and picks up passengers from bus-stops on the opposite side of the road.

Whilst service reliability has improved (although many journeys still operate late) the timetable now operated does not meet passenger needs and it should be of no surprise that in a recent response to a specific complaint that I made regarding unreliable service the response from Stagecoach stated:

"It seems that traffic conditions have changed in recent times and have impacted upon reliability. Added to this, it does appear that the major timetable revisions in September have failed to settle in terms of journey times and passenger numbers. As a result of these two factors we are currently reviewing the timetable and hope to make some improvements to improve punctuality."

Whilst accepting that Monday to Saturday daytime journeys on Services 17 and 18 are operated by Stagecoach commercially (and over which Surrey County Council has no direct control) I would like to request that Surrey County Council now makes every effort to persuade Stagecoach to revert as much as possible to the old timetable that provided a regular 30 minute Monday to Saturday daytime service timed to connect at Farnham station with trains to and from London.

The Chairman undertook to obtain a response from the relevant officers.

2. From Ms Fiona Attrill (Popes Mead, Haslemere)

The question referred to the unanimous support for a residents only parking scheme in Popes Mead and Lower West Street, Haslemere. The most recent proposals were satisfactory to residents, except that an extended expiry time to coincide with that of the Waverley Borough Council car parks would be preferable. Can the Committee assure residents that there will be no further delay in the implementation of residents only parking in this location and that this will happen by the end of the first quarter of 2013.

The Chairman declined to give a response as the results of the recent consultation are being analysed and the outcome will be considered at an additional meeting of the Committee in late January.

3. From Dr Richard Seaborne (Bramley Parish Council)

Dr Seaborne asked the Committee whether the speed of communication by the County Council in response to matters raised by the Parish Council could be improved, reporting that a number of communications had not been responded to.

The Chairman welcomed the fact that a meeting with the County Council on the future of the library had been encouraging and it was noted that a proposal to extend the 30mph limits on the A281 would be considered in the formal agenda. It was reported that parking improvements adjacent to the parish church would be implemented in early 2013. The Chairman advised the Parish Council to consult the relevant County Councillor in the event of poor response times or to report the matter to herself.

4. From Mr Clive Rollinson

Mr Rollinson expressed his concern about the proposed removal of parking spaces in Petworth Road – he felt that there was insufficient evidence to justify the expense of the zebra crossing scheme and that the proposed reduction in parking capacity could have a detrimental effect on businesses.

The Chairman noted that the additional meeting of the Committee in January would only consider the results of the recent consultation. Mr Rollinson's concerns would be considered in the discussion at Item 8 on the formal agenda for today's meeting.